T	o	:

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/GB2005/000563

16.02.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

F17C13/00, F17C3/08

Applicant

ABERDEEN UNIVERSITY

1.	This opinion	contains	indications	relating to	the	following	items:
----	--------------	----------	-------------	-------------	-----	-----------	--------

☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion

Box No. II **Priority** 

☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

□ Box No. VI Certain documents cited

☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

#### **FURTHER ACTION** 2.

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

**Authorized Officer** 

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Nicol, B

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8188

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000563

			L. Decis of the existen					
_	ROX	NC	o. I Basis of the opinion					
1.		Vith regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in he language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.	With	ith regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and ecessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. ty	рө	of material:					
	E	]	a sequence listing					
		3	table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. format of material:							
	[	3	in written format					
			in computer readable form					
	c. tir	me	of filing/furnishing:					
	- [	3	contained in the international application as filed.					
	[	_	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	ם		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppopriate, were furnished.					
4.	Add	ditio	onal comments:					
_	Box	x N	lo. II Priority					
1.	. 🖾	do re	the validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority claims so that earlier application application whose priority has been claimed or, where equired, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.					
2	. 🗆	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
3	. Add	ditic	onal observations, if necessary:					

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000563

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-14

No: Claims 1-15

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-14

No: Claims 1-15

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/000563

The following documents are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: GB-A-2 351 549 ( ABERDEEN UNIVERSITY) 3 January 2001 (2001-01-03) D2: GB-A-2 331 798 ( ABERDEEN UNIVERSITY) 2 June 1999 (1999-06-02)

#### Re Item V

١

- 1. The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(1) and (2) PCT, because document D1 discloses in accordance with claim 15 a liquefied gas cryostat according to the drawings.
- 2. Claim 15 contains references to the drawings. According to **Rule 6.2(a) PCT**, claims should not contain such references except where absolutely necessary, which is not the case here.
- 3. Independent claim 1 is not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 4. The features of the preamble of claim 1 are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).